

Gregory P. Stone (SBN 078329)
Steven M. Perry (SBN 106154)
Fred A. Rowley, Jr. (SBN 192298)
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-1560
Telephone: (213) 683-9100
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
Email: Gregory.Stone@mto.com
Email: Fred.Rowley@mto.com

Rollin A. Ransom (SBN 196192)
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013-1010
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
Facsimile: (213) 896-6600
Email: rransom@sidley.com

Peter A. Detre (SBN 182619)
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105-2907
Telephone: (415) 512-4000
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077
Email: peter.detre@mto.com

EXHIBIT A

Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

RAMBUS INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CV 00-20905 RMW

**STIPULATION AND []
ORDER PERMITTING A
CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO
HYNIX'S MOTIONS**

Date: December 19, 2012
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Location: Courtroom 6 (4th Flr.)
Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

1 1. On October 17, 2012, Hynix filed the following motions in the above-
2 captioned matter: (a) Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Reply to Defendant and
3 Counterclaim Plaintiff Rambus Inc.'s Amended Counterclaim; (b) Motion for Summary
4 Judgment or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment on the Issue of the Collateral
5 Estoppel Effect of Reexaminations of Rambus's Patents; and (c) Motion for New Trial, or in the
6 Alternative, Motion for Stay (collectively, the "Hynix Motions").

7 2. On November 5, 2012, Hynix filed a Supplemental Memorandum in
8 support of the Hynix Motions.

9 3. Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order Adjusting Briefing Schedule and
10 Hearing Date, entered November 15, 2012, Rambus's opposition papers to the Hynix Motions are
11 due on November 20, 2012.

12 4. Under Local Rule 7-4, Rambus is permitted to file an opposition brief of up
13 to 25 pages in response to each of the Hynix Motions, for a total of 75 pages. Under Local Rule
14 7-4, Hynix is permitted to file a reply brief of up to 15 pages regarding each of the Hynix
15 Motions, for a total of 45 pages.

16 5. In the interest of judicial efficiency, the parties stipulate that Rambus shall
17 be permitted to file a single consolidated opposition brief, not to exceed 55 pages, in response to
18 the Hynix Motions.

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 6. The parties further stipulate that Hynix shall be permitted to file either
2 three reply briefs, not to exceed fifteen pages each or, at Hynix's election, a single consolidated
3 reply brief not to exceed 40 pages regarding the three Hynix Motions.

4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5 Dated: November 16, 2012

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

8 By: /s/ Jeffrey Y. Wu
Jeffrey Y. Wu

9 Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.

10 Dated: November 16, 2012

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON
LLP

11 By: /s/ Jeffrey Y. Wu for
Theodore G. Brown III
Theodore G. Brown III

12 Attorneys for HYNIX
SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX
SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC.,
13 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD.,
14 and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR GmbH

15 **ORDER**

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: November 42, 2012

18 
19 Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
20 United States District Judge